

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/553,422	FUKAZAWA ET AL.
	Examiner MICHAEL C. LAI	Art Unit 2157

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) MICHAEL C. LAI. (3) _____.

(2) Keith J. Townsend. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 May 2008

Time: 2pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10-12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to replace the phrase "load distribution device" with "load balancer" in claim 10, lines 2, 5, and 10. Claims 10-12 are allowable after the amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)